Exploring the multihumped fission barrier of $^{238}$U via sub-barrier photofission
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The photofission cross section of $^{238}$U was measured at sub-barrier energies as a function of the $\gamma$-ray energy using a monochromatic, high-brilliance, Compton-backscattered $\gamma$-ray beam. The experiment was performed at the High Intensity $\gamma$-ray Source (HI/$\gamma$S) facility at beam energies between $E_\gamma = 4.7$ MeV and 6.0 MeV and with $\sim 3\%$ energy resolution. Indications of transmission resonances have been observed at $\gamma$-ray beam energies of $E_\gamma = 5.1$ MeV and 5.6 MeV with moderate amplitudes. The triple-humped fission barrier parameters of $^{238}$U have been determined by fitting EMPIRE-3.1 nuclear reaction code calculations to the experimental photofission cross section.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Photofission measurements enable selective investigation of extremely deformed nuclear states in the light actinides and can be utilized to better understand the landscape of the multiple-humped potential energy surface (PES) in these nuclei. The selectivity of these measurements originates from the low and reasonably well defined amount of angular momentum transferred during the photoabsorption process. The present study is designed to investigate the PES of $^{238}$U through observation of transmission resonances in the prompt photofission cross section. A transmission resonance appears when directly populated excited states in the first potential minimum overlap energetically with states either in the superdeformed (SD) second or hyperdeformed (HD) third potential minima [1,2]. The fission channel can thus be regarded as a tunneling process through the multiple-humped fission barrier as the gateway states in the first minimum decay through states in the other minima of the PES. So far, transmission resonances have been studied primarily in light-particle-induced nuclear reactions through charged-particle, conversion-electron or $\gamma$-ray spectroscopy. These studies do not benefit from the same selectivity found in photonuclear excitation and consequently they are complicated by statistical population of the states in the second and third minima with a probability of $10^{-4}$–$10^{-5}$. This statistical population leads to a typical isomeric fission rate from the ground-state decay of the shape isomer in the second minimum of $\sim 1$/sec. These experiments have also suffered from dominating prompt-fission background.

Until now, sub-barrier photofission experiments have been performed only with bremsstrahlung photons and have determined only the integrated fission yield. In these experiments, the fission cross section is convolved with the spectral intensity of the $\gamma$-ray beam, resulting in a typical effective $\gamma$-ray bandwidth $\Delta E/E$ between $4 \times 10^{-2}$ and $6 \times 10^{-2}$. These experiments observe a plateau, referred to as the “isomeric shelf”, in the fission cross section between $E_\gamma = 3.5$ MeV and 4.5 MeV, resulting from competition between prompt and delayed photofission [3,4]. Higher-resolution studies can be performed at tagged-photon facilities, though only with marginal statistics, due to the limited beam intensities realizable through tagging [5]. This beam intensity cannot be significantly improved beyond $\sim 10^5 \gamma/$(keV s), since it is determined by the random coincidence contribution in the electron-tagging process. Thus, high statistics photofission experiments in the deep sub-barrier energy region, where cross sections are typically as low as $\sigma \approx 1$ nb–10 $\mu$b, cannot be performed with tagged-photon beams. The relatively recent development of inverse-Compton scattering $\gamma$-ray sources, capable of producing tunable, high-flux, quasimonenergetic $\gamma$-ray beams by Compton backscattering of eV-range photons off a relativistic electron beam, offers an opportunity to overcome previous limitations. The present study was carried out at such a facility: the High Intensity $\gamma$-ray Source (HI/$\gamma$S) located at Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory (TUNL). It should be emphasized that a measurement of the photofission cross section in the deep sub-barrier energy region will be a crucial step toward a reliable characterization of the PES, including unambiguous determination of the double- or triple-humped nature of the surface and precise evaluation of the barrier parameters. Next-generation Compton-backscattering $\gamma$-ray sources, such as MEGa-ray (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, California, US) [6] and ELI-NP (Bucharest, Romania) [7], are anticipated to provide beams with spectral fluxes of $\sim 10^8 \gamma/$(eV s) and energy resolution of $\Delta E \approx 1$ keV, far superior to those currently available at HI/$\gamma$S. The capabilities of these next-generation sources allow one to aim at an identification of sub-barrier transmission resonances in the fission decay channel with integrated cross sections down to $\Gamma \sigma \approx 0.1$ eV b, whereas the present study is only sensitive to resonances with $\Gamma \sigma \approx 10$ eV b. The narrow energy bandwidth...
expected for the new γ-ray beam facilities will also allow for a significant reduction of the presently dominant background from nonresonant processes. Thus, next-generation γ-ray sources are expected to allow preferential population and identification of vibrational resonances in the photofission cross section and ultimately to enable observation of the fine structure in the isomeric shelf. This may open the perspective toward a new era of photofission studies.

Sub-barrier photofission of $^{238}$U so far has only been studied with intense bremsstrahlung, however, without being able to resolve any resonances [8]. A previous $^{235}$U$(p,t)$ measurement showed pronounced resonance structures at excitation energies of $E^* = 5.6-5.8$ MeV and at $E^* = 5.15$ MeV, as well as a weaker resonance at $E^* = 4.9$ MeV [9]. A whole sequence of further transmission resonances at lower energies is expected to explain the isomeric shelf [4], but such resonances have not yet been observed. Furthermore, it has been found experimentally in several measurements on $^{234}$U [10], on $^{236}$U [11], and most recently on $^{232}$U [12] in agreement with older theoretical predictions [13], that for the uranium isotopes the HD third potential minimum is in fact as deep as the SD second minimum. According to this experimental systematics, the existence of a HD third minimum is also predicted for $^{238}$U, however, it has not yet been supported experimentally. On the other hand, recent calculations using a macroscopic-microscopic model do not predict the existence of a deep third minimum for the even-even uranium isotopes [14,15]. This puzzle was more recently addressed within a self-consistent theoretical approach, where the conditions for the existence of HD potential minima were studied [16].

II. PHOTOFISSION OF $^{238}$U

The aim of the present study was to measure the $^{238}$U$(γ,f)$ cross section at deep sub-barrier energies and to search for transmission resonances. The experiment was performed at the HiLyS facility with its Compton-backscattered γ-ray beam, having a bandwidth of $ΔE = 150–200$ keV and a spectral flux of about $10^2 γ$/eV s. An array of parallel plate avalanche counters, consisting of 23 electrophoretically deposited $^{235}$UO$_2$ (2 mg/cm$^2$) targets [17], was used to measure the photofission cross section. Both fission fragments were detected in coincidence to suppress the α-particle background to an extremely low level, which is required by the particularly low counting rates (typically 0.1–1 Hz at $E_γ = 5$ MeV). The total efficiency of the array was estimated to be 70% based on Ref. [17].

The present experimental photofission cross section of $^{238}$U as a function of the γ-ray energy is shown in Fig. 1(a), along with the experimental data of Ref. [8]. Near the top of the barrier the two data sets are in a good agreement. The present data are extended by about an order of magnitude in cross section to the deep sub-barrier region down to $E_γ = 4.7$ MeV. A clear transmission resonance has been observed at $E_γ = 5.6$ MeV, which is consistent with the observation of Ref. [8]. A slight deviation from the exponential slope of the cross section indicates the existence of a resonance at $E_γ = 5.1$ MeV, however, with only a limited resonance signal contrast due to the moderate bandwidth of the γ-ray beam.
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**FIG. 1.** (Color online) (a) The measured photofission cross section of $^{238}$U in the γ-ray energy range of $E_γ = 4.7–6.0$ MeV. The result of the present experiment and the experimental data of Ref. [8] are indicated by full squares and open triangles, respectively. (b) Experimental $^{238}$U$(γ,n)$ cross sections of Refs. [29] and [30] are indicated by full squares and open triangles, respectively. (c) Total photoabsorption cross section of $^{238}$U as a function of the γ-ray energy. The experimental data from Ref. [18] and Ref. [19] are indicated by full squares and open triangles, respectively. In all panels, the cross sections calculated using EMPIRE-3.1, as discussed in the text, are shown as black lines; the calculations in (b) and (c) assume a triple-humped barrier structure, however, without influencing the resulting cross sections.

For the theoretical evaluation of the present $^{238}$U photofission experimental data, we performed nuclear reaction code calculations using the EMPIRE-3.1 code [20]. Within the code, the fission transmission coefficients are calculated using the Hill-Wheeler formalism [21], followed by Hauser-Feshbach statistical model calculations [22], allowing the fission channel to compete with emission of particles and photons. The triple-humped fission barrier parameters of $^{238}$U were extracted by tuning the inputs to these calculations and comparing the resulting predictions of the photofission cross section to the experimental data.
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TABLE I. Double-humped fission barrier parameters of 238U (in MeV) used in the calculations. The resulting photofission cross section is indicated in Fig. 1(a) by the dashed line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E_A</th>
<th>E_I</th>
<th>E_B</th>
<th>E_I1</th>
<th>σ_A</th>
<th>σ_I</th>
<th>σ_B</th>
<th>T_1/2_fiss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.65 ± 0.20</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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the dashed line shows the calculated (γ,••) cross section using
the parameters listed in Table I. The double-humped barrier
parameters were adjusted to best describe the experimental
photofission and (γ,••) cross sections over the entire energy
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E_A</th>
<th>E_I</th>
<th>E_B</th>
<th>E_I1</th>
<th>σ_A</th>
<th>σ_I</th>
<th>σ_B</th>
<th>T_1/2_fiss</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.20</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the deformation, like for the real part, and their strengths are chosen to fit the width of the resonances in the sub-barrier fission cross section and to be consistent with physical values for the transmission coefficients at higher energies. More details about the fission coefficients calculation for multihumped barriers can be found in Ref. [28].

The experimental data of the present experiment could be reproduced dramatically better with a calculation assuming a triple-humped fission barrier than with a double-humped one. Because of the high damping of the SD vibrational states, the corresponding resonances are smeared out. Thus, the resonance at $E_\gamma = 5.55$ MeV was attributed to the HD well. Due to the energy spacing of the vibrational states in the HD oscillator well, which is determined by the curvature parameter of the third potential minimum ($\hbar \omega_{III} = 1.0$ MeV), a further resonance should appear at $E_\gamma = 4.55$ MeV. Experimental evidence for the existence of such a resonance would fully confirm our present theoretical interpretation. It is also evident that the existing ($\gamma,f$) and ($\gamma,n$) experimental data suffer from large uncertainties. It would be highly important to improve the $\gamma$-ray beam energy bandwidth and the energy density of data points (requiring a higher $\gamma$-ray beam intensity), in order to explore a full set of deep sub-barrier fission resonances.

The present results on the fission barrier parameters of $^{238}$U supplement the previous findings on the systematics of the barrier parameters of the uranium isotopes [12]. Figure 3 shows the present results on $^{238}$U together with previous experimental results on $^{232}$U [12], $^{234}$U [10], and $^{236}$U [11]. A reversal of the trends followed by the lighter uranium isotopes for the height of the inner barrier $E_A$ and the depth of the third minimum (expressed by $E_{III}$), respectively, as a function of the neutron number, is observed. For $^{238}$U, the data suggests a decreasing barrier height $E_A$ and a decreased depth of the third minimum. Moreover, the particularly low values of the curvature parameters derived from the present data, especially the one for the inner barrier ($\hbar \omega_A = 0.4$ MeV), may suggest a need for reconsideration of the well-accepted approximation of the fission barrier with a harmonic oscillator potential curve. An anharmonic, “towerlike” potential, originally suggested by Bowman et al. decades ago [31], would better approximate the potential landscape determined from the current data.

### TABLE III. The energies of the transition band heads (in MeV) used for $^{238}$U in the calculations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K^\pi$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_A$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_B$</th>
<th>$\epsilon_C$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0^+$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^+$</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0^-$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1^-$</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In summary, we measured the photofission cross-section of $^{238}$U in the $\gamma$-ray energy region of $E = 4.7$–$6.0$ MeV with the monochromatic, high-brilliance, Compton-backscattered $\gamma$-ray beam of the HILS facility. With the significantly higher intensity of the beam, when comparing to a tagged-photon facility, the cross section could be measured at deep sub-barrier energies. EMPIRE-3.1 reaction code calculations were performed to extract the fission barrier parameters of $^{238}$U. Our present results on the fission barrier of $^{238}$U support a deep third minimum with $E_{III} = 3.6$ MeV, a low inner barrier height $E_A = 4.3$ MeV and outer barrier heights of $E_B = 5.7$ MeV and $E_C = 5.7$ MeV. Though in line with the extensive body of experimental evidence for deep third potential minima in uranium isotopes acquired over the last 15 years, this result is in disagreement with recent calculations of Ref. [14], a puzzle that still needs to be resolved. Indications of predicted resonance structures have also been observed, however, with moderate amplitudes. The results indicate the need for further investigations at lower $\gamma$-ray energies and using smaller-bandwidth, higher-intensity $\gamma$-ray beams. ELI-NP, MEGA-ray, and other next-generation $\gamma$-ray sources will enable such measurements.
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